

Institute of Machine Tools and Control Engineering Prof. Dr.-Ing. S. Ihlenfeldt



Faculty of Mechanical Science and Engineering, Institute of Machine Tools and Control Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Science and Engineering technology without borders

# Machine Tool Dynamics

New Concepts for Increasing Machine Tool Dynamics S. Ihlenfeldt

## **Institute of Machine Tools and Control Engineering** Historie



- established in 1954 as Institute for Machine Tools at the TH Dresden
- in 1968 integrated into the "Department Workshop Facilities and Machine Tools"
- reestablished as Institute for Machine Tools in 1991; **Executive Director:** 
  - Doz. Dr.- Ing. habil. R. Neugebauer
- 1994 renamed to "Institute for Machine Tools and Fluid Power"
  - Director: Prof. Dr.- Ing. habil. K. Großmann
- since 1997: "Institute for Machine Tools and Control Engineering"
- since 2015: Chair of "Machine Tools Engineering and Adaptive Controls"
  - Prof. Dr.-Ing. S. Ihlenfeldt



# **Institute of Machine Tools and Control Engineering Facts**

#### Institute (28 Research associates) **Machine- and Process-**Mechatronic **Adaptive Information Management** Control for Production **Analysis Motion Systems** Analysis of components Correction algorithm Lightweight design Modeling of process chains Research Model integration Modeling of structures an Dimensioning and control Structuring and storage of process data processes of drives Process data acquisition Coupled simulation **Drive** concepts Analysis of process data **Condition Monitoring** Measurement technology Energy management Assistance systems for machine tools Machine tool Fundamentals of Machine tool development **Design of Experiments** Lectures development machine tool controls **Fundamentals** Analysis of motion Concepts and design of Machine Tools controlled machines

## **Machine Tools Dynamics**

#### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Materials for Machine Tools
- 3. Drive Structures
- 4. Machine Structures
- 5. Process Stability
- 6. Summary



#### **Motivation**

Trend 1: **customized** products

number of variants ↑

lot sizes ↓

development times ↓



Trend 2: **complex** products
miniaturization 个
functional integration个
functionality 个



dynamics ↑




accuracy**↑** 

flexibility ↑




## **Conflict of aims**






#### Conflict of aims and fields of actions






#### **Conflict of aims and fields of actions**






## **Machine Tools Dynamics**






## **Machine Tools Dynamics**






## Materials for machine tools






## Materials for machine tools






## Materials for machine tools






## Materials for machine tools - lightweight design



- Higher acceleration → shorter cycle times
- 2) Shorter cycle times → better global competitiveness
- 3) Shorter cycle times → effective way to energy efficiency



## Materials for machine tools - lightweight design



- Higher acceleration → shorter cycle times
- 2) Shorter cycle times → better global competitiveness
- 3) Shorter cycle times → effective way to energy efficiency



## **Example:** CFRP traverse laser cutting machine

#### **Machine characteristics:**

- 2 parallel working laser units
- High dynamics: Acceleration 2,5g

### **Advantages over steel concept**

- 1) mass reduction 50 %
- 2) Increase of stiffness by 100%
- 3) Increase dynamic stiffness by factor of 4

70% increase of productivity

(amortization after 3,5 month)

#### **Design principle**

- CFRP aluminum
   honeycomb sandwiches
- CFRP-belts
- Steel support
- Joining by gluing





Quelle: TRUMPF (TruLaser 7040)



**Example:** CFRP Z-Slide machining center

#### **Machine characteristics:**

- HSC machining center
- Tool and die making

#### **Design principle**

- CFRP semi-finished parts
- Steel support
- Joining by gluing

#### Advantages over steel concept

mass reduction structural parts 60% (775 →305 kg) mass reduction overall 41% (1143 → 673 kg)

increase of productivity improvement of quality (surface)






## **Carbon fiber composite structures**

#### **Challenges:**

- Where are CFRP structures useful?
- Complex design and engineering due to anisotropy of CFRP
- Lack of knowledge in CFRP component design
- Design of combination metal CFRP
  - different Youngs' modulus
  - different expansion coefficient
- Economics







## **Hybrid CFRP-Metal ball screw drive**

#### Motivation for hybrid carbon-fiber reinforced ball screw spindle

- 1. Guide bandwidth determined by lowest eigenfrequency
  - → Axial stiffness of ball screw spindle is often the major elasticity
- 2. Rotational inertia limits feed drive dynamics
- **3.** Thermal lengthening of spindle demands fixed-free axial bearing (especially in high dynamic feed drives with high local thermal loads)
  - → Reduced feed drive stiffness compared to a fixed-fixed axial bearing
  - → Thermal lengthening is not recognized by indirect measuring systems
- 4. Feed drive dynamic limitation by critical speed of the spindle

#### **Need for action ball screw spindles**

Higher stiffness (axial, bending, torsion)

Reduced rotational inertia

Reduced thermal lengthening




## **Hybrid CFRP-Metal ball screw drive**

**General Approach:** Hybrid Spindle consisting of carbon-reinforced core and

metallic layer for the bearing surface

Adhesive joint




Form closure



- + material variability
- technological potential for higher manufacturing numbers
- + standard finishing technology
- extremely sensitive for tolerances
- not well-suited for large dimensions (e.g. unbalances)

HV arc spraying




- + flexible realization for prototype
- + reliable contact between carbon core and metallic layer
- restriction for material variability
- specific finishing technology necessary
- multi-layer metallic film necessary (thermal induced residual stress)



## **Hybrid CFRP-Metal ball screw drive**

+/-45°-wrapped CRP for torsional load and stiffness

#### **Structure**




Functional metallic layer for bearing surface realized through thermal spraying

09.10.2015




## **Machine Tools Dynamics**





## **Application with high dynamics**







## **Comparison of different drive systems**

	Linear direct drives	Ball screw drives	Belt drives	Rack and Pinion drives
Velocity	++	0	+	+
Acceleration	++	+	-	0
Accuracy	++	+	-	-
Max. Force	0	++	0	+
Max. Traverse	++	-	+	++
Wear	++	0	0	0
Stiffness / 1 EF	++	0	-	+



#### Linear direct drives

High forces and high force gradients


enable

High feed rate, acceleration and acceleration

gradient (jerk)

# Counterforces <u>cause</u> Excitation of frame oscillation, limited control parameters and jerk limitations


#### Principle (simplified) model







## **Systematic Approach**






## **New Concept**

# Force compensated linear motor direct drive system

- Force compensation by opposite movement of motors
- Using counterforce as feed force→ downsizing of motors
- 3. Enabling of energy storage by the use of elastic coupling elements







## **New Concept**

## Force compensated linear motor direct drive system

- Force compensation by opposite movement of motors
- Using counterforce as feed force → downsizing of motors
- Enabling of energy storage by the use of elastic coupling elements






## **New Concept - Results**

#### **Excitation of the machine structure**


- Comparison between opposite and parallel driving linear motors
- High dynamic positioning motion (900 mm, 2000 mm/s, 10.000 m/s²)
- Machine structure acceleration measured in feed direction
- On average 75 % less vibration excitation into machine structure






## **New Concept - Results**

## **Design Concepts**





## **Machine Tools Dynamics**





# Machine Tool Structures Machining of large parts







#### **Machine Tool Structures**

## Machining of large parts





#### **Hypothesis**:

The solutions in nature are based on a long-time optimization process by evolution

#### **Bionic Analogies:**

Short-time optimization of technical systems by learning from nature

#### **Machine Tool Structures**

Mobile machine tools

Example: Machining of large workpieces with small mobile machine tools



Mobile Milling unit for maintenance of turbine drive shafts (METROM / IWU)



Pecker



Mobile Grinding unit for maintenance of rolls for paper drying (Voith Paper Services / IWU)

#### Analogies:

- **Orientation** on the workpiece coordinate system
- workpiece is part of the machine structure
- high dynamic, high energy-efficient technologies with low machining forces



#### **Machine Tool Structures**

Redundant drive structures


Challenge in Tool and Die Machining

Large working space *versus* local high dynamic requirements

Bionic Analogy

Redundancy as energy efficient movement principle

Examples in nature: frog (tongue), elephant (trunk), human (hand)



Global – low dynamic (arm axes / serial)

Local – high dynamic (hand axes / parallel)




#### **Machine Tool Structures**

Redundant drive structures

#### Rough Milling / HPC

- Machining Forces
- Process Stability / Volume Removal Rate


#### **Actuation** Redundancy



#### Finishing / HSC



#### Motion Redundancy






#### **Machine Tool Structures**

Redundant drive structures

- → Suitable for HPC + HSC
- → Motion redundancy for the heaviest axis
- → High stiffness by actuator's redundancy
- → High accuracy by sensor's redundancy





Prototype / Cooperation Project VW, Niles-Simmons, IWU








#### **Machine Tool Structures**

#### Redundant drive structures





## **Machine Tools Dynamics**



#### **Adaptronic Components**

#### **Motivation**

Machining of energyefficient components




Active control cutting edge




Ultra-precise sub kinematics Based on piezo actuators

Concept

- Pre-compensation of thermal effects by inverse contouring
- 2. Optimal friction behavior through micro structuring










### **Adaptronic Components**


#### **Spindle mounting**



#### Integration into a machine tool




#### **Machine Tools Dynamics**






**Stable** 

#### Introduction: performance characterized by stability







Chattei



#### Motivation: improving machining performance






#### All other things being constant:

Absolute limiting depth of cut,  $a_{lim}$ :

$$a_{lim} \cong 2k\zeta$$



Increased dynamic stiffness

**→** 

Increased productivity




#### **Increasing dynamic stiffness**

Structural modification

$$a_{lim} \cong 2k\zeta$$

#### **Stiffness:**

 $k = f(Material\ modulus;$  Geometry)




Law et. al. (2013)


Suitable at design stage



#### **Increasing dynamic stiffness**

#### Passive damping







Yang et. al. (2010)

Not suitable for changing dynamics

# Idea: adaptive vibration damper only requires energy for frequency adaption












## Increasing dynamic stiffness Active damping




Zäh and Baur (2011)



Munoa et. al. (2013)

Best suited, however:

No strategy for actuator sizing and selection for defined performance objectives





#### **Machine Tools Dynamics**

#### Outlook

- New solution for machine tool design available
- Different approaches same objectives
- Combination reasonable
- Models for different degree of abstraction necessary
- Evaluation while design phases necessary
- Machine tools are agile



Institute of Machine Tools and Control Engineering Prof. Dr.-Ing. S. Ihlenfeldt



Faculty of Mechanical Science and Engineering, Institute of Machine Tools and Control Engineering

